

REMARKS

The Examiner rejected claims 1 – 39 under 35 U.S.C. 101 as being directed to non-statutory subject matter. In that regard, the Examiner stated:

“However, the invention disclosed in claims 1, 14, and 27 includes the judicial exception of an abstract idea (determining whether a student correctly answered a question). No physical transformation [i]s present in the claims to establish a practical application of the abstract idea. Furthermore, claims 1, 14, and 27 do not product a useful, concrete and tangible result.”

Applicant has amended claims 1, 14, and 27 so that the claims are method claims. In addition, Applicant has also added the affirmative step of “providing training to the user” to claims 1, 14, and 27. Thus, Applicant believes that all currently pending claims produce a useful, concrete and tangible result, namely providing training to a user. Therefore, Applicant believes that all pending claims are directed to statutory subject matter.

The Examiner rejected independent claims 1, 14, and 27, together with a number of dependent claims, as obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McElwrath in view of Ziv-el *et al.*

Independent claims 1, 14, and 27 now require the following:

“at least one of the plurality of training courses including a second Web document that stores a keyword and that displays a question, a complete answer to the question, and an incomplete answer to the question, the second Web document including a field for displaying characters received from a user, the second Web document displaying characters received from the user if and only if the characters received from the user correspond to characters of the keyword.”

Neither McElwrath nor Ziv-el *et al.* disclose a training course that includes a Web document that stores a correct keyword and that displays a question, an answer to that question, and an incomplete answer to the question, together with a field for displaying only correctly entered characters received from a user. Thus, Applicant believes that independent claims 1, 14, and 27, together with the claims that depend from them are allowable over the art of record.

CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is presently in form for allowance. Such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

By Hoyt A. Fleming III
Hoyt A. Fleming III
Registration No. 41,752

Date: June 5, 2007

Address correspondence to: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <i>Customer Number or Bar Code Label</i> 28422	or <input type="checkbox"/> <i>Correspondence Address Below</i> Park, Vaughan & Fleming LLP P.O. Box 140678 Boise, ID 83714	Direct telephone calls to: Hoyt A. Fleming III (208) 336-5237
---	--	--